arXiv:1203.3333v2 [math.CV] 23 Aug 2012

DIVISION FORMULAS ON PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

MATS ANDERSSON & LISA NILSSON

Abstract. We introduce a division formula on a possibly singular projective subvariety X of complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^N$, which, e.g., provides explicit representations of solutions to various polynomial division problems on the affine part of X. In particular we consider a global effective version of the Briançon-Skoda-Huneke theorem.

1. Introduction

In this paper we construct a division-interpolation integral formula for polynomial ideals on an algebraic subvariety V of $\mathbb{C}^N$. Such division formulas, for smooth V, have been used by several authors, see, e.g., [11], [10] and [9]. There are two main novelties in our approach. Our formula is the restriction to V of a formula on the closure X of V in $\mathbb{P}^N$; this makes it possible to get sharper estimates. In case $X=\mathbb{P}^n$ we precisely get back the formula introduced in [4]. In this paper we also allow non-smooth varieties.

Our main interest is a global effective Briançon-Skoda-Huneke type polynomial division result on V that was proved in [8], generalizing theorems of Ein-Lazarsfeld, [13], and Hickel, [17], to non-smooth V. Our integral formula does not in any substantial way contribute to the proof of the existence of the polynomials but provides an integral representation of the them. In particular, applied to Nullstellensatz data we get a representation of a solution with a polynomial degree that is not too far from the optimal one, cf., Remark 1.2 below.

Let X be the closure of V in $\mathbb{P}^N$, let J_X denote the associated homogeneous ideal in the graded ring $S=\mathbb{C}[z_0,\ldots,z_N]$, and let $S(\ell)$ denote the module S but where all degrees are shifted by ℓ. Let

$$0\to S_M\overset{a_M}{\to}\cdots\overset{a_1}{\to}S_0 \tag{1.1}$$

be a minimal graded free resolution of S/J_X; here $S_k=S(-d_k^1)\oplus\cdots\oplus S(-d_k^{r_k})$ and the mappings $a_k=(a_k^{ij})$ are matrices of homogeneous forms in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ with

$$\deg a_k^{ij}=d_k^j-d_{k-1}^i.$$

Since J_X has pure dimension it follows from [14, Corollary 20.14] that $M\le N$, see also [8, Section 2.7]. Let

$$\kappa_0=\max_{k\le M} d_k^i. \tag{1.2}$$

Date: February 27, 2013.

The first author was partially supported by the Swedish Research Council. The second author was supported by a postdoc grant from the Swedish Research Council.

Given polynomials $F_1, \dots, F_m$ on V of degrees at most d, let f_j be the corresponding d-homogeneous forms on $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}$. That is, if $z = (z_0, \dots, z_N)$ and $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$, then $f_j(z) = z_0^d F(z'/z_0)$. As usual we can consider f_j as sections of the restriction to X of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(d)$ over $\mathbb{P}^N$. Let Z_f be the zero set of f_j on X.

We now present our main result in this paper. Assume that ρ is an integer that is larger than or equal to

$$d \min(m, n+1) + \kappa_0 - N,$$

and let ϕ be a section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ over X. We construct a division-interpolation formula

$$\phi(z) = f(z) \cdot \int_X A(\zeta, z)\phi(\zeta) + \int_X B(\zeta, z) \wedge R^f(\zeta)\phi(\zeta), \tag{1.3}$$

where, for fixed z, the first integral exists as a principal value at $X_{sing} \cup Z_f$, $B(\cdot, z)$ is a smooth form, and R^f is a residue current on X with support on Z_f, so that the second "integral" is the action of R^f on $\pm B\phi$. Moreover, both A and B are holomorphic in z. For the precise formula, see Theorem 4.2 below. Assume that Φ is a polynomial of degree at most ρ and let ϕ be its ρ-homogenization. If the current $R^f\phi$ vanishes, i.e., ϕ annihilates R^f, it follows that

$$Q_j(z') = \int_X A_j(\zeta, z')\phi(\zeta) \tag{1.4}$$

are polynomials such that $\deg F_j Q_j \le \rho$ and $F_1 Q_1 + \cdots + F_m Q_m = \Phi$ on V.

Let us now describe our main application of this formula. Let $\mathcal{J}_f$ be the coherent analytic ideal sheaf over X generated by f_j. Furthermore, let c_∞ be the maximal codimension of the so-called *distinguished varieties* of the sheaf $\mathcal{J}_f$, in the sense of Fulton-MacPherson, that are contained in

$$X_\infty := X \setminus V,$$

see, e.g., [8, Section 5]. If there are no distinguished varieties contained in X_∞, then we interpret c_∞ as $-\infty$. We always have

$$c_\infty \le \mu := \min(m, n). \tag{1.5}$$

Let $|F|^2 = |F_1^2|^2 + \cdots + |F_M|^2$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that V is a reduced n-dimensional algebraic subvariety of $\mathbb{C}^N$.*

(i) There exists a number μ_0 such that if $F_1, \dots, F_m$ are polynomials of degree $\le d$ and Φ is a polynomial such that

$$|\Phi| \le C|F|^{\mu+\mu_0} \tag{1.6}$$

locally on V, then, with the appropriate choice of ρ, (1.4) *are polynomials on V such that*

$$\Phi = F_1 Q_1 + \cdots + F_m Q_m \tag{1.7}$$

on V and

$$deg\,(F_j Q_j) \le \max\big(deg\,\Phi + (\mu+\mu_0) d^{c_\infty} deg\,X, d\min(m, n+1) + \kappa_0 - N\big). \tag{1.8}$$

(ii) Assume that V is smooth. There is a number μ' such that if $F_1, \dots, F_m$ are polynomials of degree $\leq d$ and Φ is a polynomial such that

$$|\Phi| \leq C|F|^{\mu} \tag{1.9}$$

locally on V, then (1.4) *gives polynomials Q_j such that* (1.7) *holds on V and*

$$deg\,(F_jQ_j) \leq \max\big(deg\,\Phi + \mu d^{c_\infty} deg\,X + \mu', d\min(m, n+1) + \kappa_0 - N\big). \tag{1.10}$$

If X is smooth one can take $\mu' = 0$.

Recall that the *regularity* of X is defined as the regularity of the module J_X which in turn is $1 + \max_{k\leq M} d_k^i$, see [15, Ch. 4]. Notice that

$$\kappa_0 - N \leq \operatorname{reg} X - 1. \tag{1.11}$$

Theorem 1.1 in [8] states that there are polynomials Q_j as in Theorem 1.1 with the slightly sharper degree bound $d\min(m, n+1) + \operatorname{reg} X - \min(m, n+1)$ rather than $d\min(m, n+1) + \kappa_0 - N$ in the last entry in (1.8) and (1.10)[1]

A key step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (i) in [8] is the following result:

(*) There is a number μ_0, only depending on V, such that the following holds: If F_j are polynomials of degree at most d and Φ is a polynomial such that (1.6) *holds, $\rho \geq (deg\,\Phi + (\mu + \mu_0)d^{c_\infty} deg\,X$, $\rho \geq deg\,\Phi$, and ϕ is the ρ-homogenization of Φ, then $R^f\phi = 0$.*

If we choose

$$\rho = \max\big(\deg\Phi + (\mu + \mu_0)d^{c_\infty}\deg X, d\min(m, n+1) + \kappa_0 - N\big),$$

we can represent ϕ by formula (1.3), and since furthermore then $R\phi = 0$ in view of (*) it follows that the polynomials in (1.4) satisfy (1.7) and (1.8). Thus we get Theorem 1.1 (i) above.

In the same way, if the hypotheses in Theorem 1.1 (ii) are fulfilled, it follows from [8] that $R^f\phi = 0$ if ϕ is the ρ-homogenization where $\rho \geq \deg\Phi(\mu + \mu_0)d^{c_\infty}\deg X + \mu'$. Again we thus get the integral (1.4) representation of polynomials Q_j satisfying (1.7) and (1.10).

Remark 1.2. If we apply Theorem 1.1 to Nullstellensatz data, i.e., F_j with no common zero on V and $\Phi = 1$, then we get polynomials Q_j by (1.4) such that $\sum F_jQ_j = 1$ on V and

$$\deg\,(F_jQ_j) \leq \max\big((\mu + \mu_0)d^{c_\infty}\deg X, d\min(m, n+1) + \kappa_0 - N\big).$$

It was proved by Jelonek, [19], that one can find a solution such that

$$\deg\,(F_jQ_j) \leq c_m d^{\mu}\deg X,$$

where $c_m = 1$ if $m \leq n$ and $c_m = 2$ otherwise, and this result is essentially optimal. In general it is clearly much sharper than what we get; however, if $c_\infty < \mu$ and d is large enough, then our estimate can compete[2].

For a further discussion of Theorem 1.1, and a comparison with the results in [13] and [17], see [8].

---

[1] If $m \geq n+1$, then $\operatorname{reg} X - \min(m, n+1) \leq \kappa_0 - N$; when $m \leq n$ at least the proof in [8] gives an estimate that is not less sharp than $\kappa_0 - N$.

[2] The optimal result for case $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ was proved by Kollár, [20], for $d \geq 3$; see [21] and [19] for $d = 2$.

Remark 1.3. One can consider Theorem 1.1 in [8] as an effective global version of the Briançon-Skoda-Huneke theorem:

Given $x \in V$ there is a number μ_0 such that if $F_1, \ldots, F_m, \Phi$ are any holomorphic functions at x, $\ell \geq 1$, and $|\Phi| \leq C|F|^{\mu+\mu_0+\ell-1}$ in a neighborhood of x, then Φ belongs to the local ideal $(F_j)_x^\ell$ at x.

If x is a smooth point, then one can take $\mu_0 = 0$; this is the classical Briançon-Skoda theorem, [12]. The general case was proved by Huneke, [18], by purely algebraic methods. An analytic proof appeared in [5].

Remark 1.4. In view of the Briançon-Skoda-Huneke theorem, the hypothesis (1.6) implies that Φ is in the ideal (F_j) at each point, and hence (1.7) holds for some polynomials. However, membership in (F_j) only implies a representation (1.7) with a degree estimate like $\deg \Phi + d^{(2^n)}$ rather than $\deg \Phi + d^n$.

2. Integral representation on $\mathbb{P}^N$

We first recall from [4] how one can generate representation formulas for holomorphic sections of a vector bundle $F \to \mathbb{P}^N$. The construction is an adaptation to $\mathbb{P}^N$ of the idea introduced in [1]; see also [16]. Let F_z denote the pull-back of F to $\mathbb{P}^N_\zeta \times \mathbb{P}^N_z$ under the natural projection $\mathbb{P}^N_\zeta \times \mathbb{P}^N_z \to \mathbb{P}^N_z$ and define F_ζ analogously. Let δ_η denote contraction with the $\mathcal{O}_z(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\zeta(-1)$-valued holomorphic vector field

$$\eta = 2\pi i \sum_0^N z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_i}$$

over $\mathbb{P}^N_\zeta \times \mathbb{P}^N_z$. We thus have the mapping

$$\delta_\eta : \mathcal{C}_{\ell+1,q}(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(j)) \to \mathcal{C}_{\ell,q}(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(k-1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(j+1)),$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\ell,q}(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(j))$ denotes the sheaf of currents on $\mathbb{P}^N_\zeta \times \mathbb{P}^N_z$ of bidegree (ℓ, q) in ζ and $(0,0)$ in z that take values in $\mathcal{O}_\zeta(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(j)$. In this paper we only deal with forms and currents with respect to ζ and always consider z as a parameter. Given a vector bundle $L \to \mathbb{P}^N_\zeta \times \mathbb{P}^N_z$, let

$$\mathcal{L}^\nu(L) = \bigoplus_j \mathcal{C}_{j,j+\nu}(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(j) \otimes \mathcal{O}_z(-j) \otimes L).$$

If $\nabla_\eta = \delta_\eta - \bar\partial$, where $\bar\partial = \bar\partial_\zeta$, then $\nabla_\eta : \mathcal{L}^\nu(L) \to \mathcal{L}^{\nu+1}(L)$. Furthermore, ∇_η is a anti-derivation, and $\nabla_\eta^2 = 0$.

A *weight* with respect to $F \to \mathbb{P}^N$ and a point $z \in \mathbb{P}^N$ is a section g of $\mathcal{L}^0(\mathrm{Hom}\,(F_\zeta, F_z))$ such that $\nabla_\eta g = 0$, g is smooth for ζ close to z, and $g_{0,0} = I_F$ when $\zeta = z$, where $g_{0,0}$ denotes the component of g with bidegree $(0,0)$, and I_F is the identity endomorphism on F. The following basic formula appeared as Proposition 4.1 in [4].

Proposition 2.1. *Let g be a weight with respect to $F \to \mathbb{P}^N$ and z, and assume that ψ is a holomorphic section of $F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-N)$. We then have the representation formula*

$$\psi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^N} g_{N,N} \psi. \tag{2.1}$$

Recall that an ℓ-homogeneous form ξ on (an open subset of) $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}\setminus\{0\}$ is *projective*, i.e., the pullback under the natural projection $\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^{N+1}\setminus\{0\}\to\mathbb{P}^N$ of a form on (an open subset of) $\mathbb{P}^N$, if and only if $\delta_z\xi=\delta_{\bar z}\xi=0$, where δ_z is interior multiplication by

$$\sum_0^N z_j\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j},$$

and $\delta_{\bar z}$ is its conjugate.

Example 2.2. For fixed z,

$$\alpha=\alpha_{0,0}+\alpha_{1,1}=\frac{z\cdot\bar\zeta}{|\zeta|^2}-\bar\partial\frac{\bar\zeta\cdot d\zeta}{2\pi i|\zeta|^2}$$

is a well-defined smooth form in $\mathcal{L}^0(\mathrm{Hom}\,(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(-1),\mathcal{O}_z(1)))$, such that

$$\nabla_\eta\alpha=0, \tag{2.2}$$

and $\alpha_{0,0}$ is equal to $I_{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ at z. Thus α is a weight with respect to $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and z.

For a given point $z\in\mathbb{P}^N$,

$$b=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{|\zeta|^2\bar z\cdot d\zeta-(\bar z\cdot\zeta)\bar\zeta\cdot d\zeta}{|\zeta|^2|z|^2-|\bar\zeta\cdot z|^2}$$

is the $\mathcal{O}_\zeta(1)\otimes\mathcal{O}_z(-1)$-valued $(1,0)$-form in $d\zeta$ on $X\setminus\{z\}$ of minimal norm such that $\eta\cdot b=\delta_\eta b=1$. Let

$$B=\frac{b}{\nabla_\eta b}=b+b\wedge\bar\partial b+b\wedge(\bar\partial b)^2+\cdots+b\wedge(\bar\partial b)^{N-1};$$

here $b\wedge(\bar\partial b)^{k-1}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_\zeta(k)\otimes\mathcal{O}_z(-k)$-valued $(k,k-1)$-form that is $\mathcal{O}(1/d(\zeta,z)^{2k-1})$, where $d(\zeta,z)$ is the distance between ζ and z on $\mathbb{P}^N$. In particular, B is locally integrable at z and can thus be considered as a current on X. Let $[z]$ denote the $\mathcal{O}_\zeta(N)\otimes\mathcal{O}_z(-N)$-valued (N,N)-current point evaluation at z, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^N}[z]\xi=\xi(z)$$

for each smooth $(0,0)$-from ξ with values in $\mathcal{O}(-N)$. Then, see, e.g., [4, formula (4.1)],

$$\nabla_\eta B=1-[z] \tag{2.3}$$

in the current sense.

For further reference we recall from [4] how (2.1) follows from (2.3): Since g is ∇_η-closed, $\nabla_\eta(g\wedge B)=g\wedge(1-[z])$, and by identifying components of bidegree (N,N) therefore

$$-\bar\partial(g\wedge B)_{N,N-1}=g_{N,N}-g_{0,0}[z]=g_{N,N}-I_{F_z}[z].$$

Now (2.1) follows after multiplication by ϕ and an application of Stokes' theorem.

2.1. **Division-interpolation formulas on $\mathbb{P}^N$.** Assume that

$$0 \to E_M \xrightarrow{f_M} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} E_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} E_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} E_0 \to 0 \tag{2.4}$$

is a generically exact complex of Hermitian vector bundles over $\mathbb{P}^N$ and let Z be the projective variety where (2.4) is not pointwise exact. In [3] and [7] were introduced currents

$$U = U_1 + \dots + U_N + U_{\min(M,N+1)}, \quad R = R_1 + \dots + R_{\min(M,N+1)}$$

associated to (2.4) with the following properties: The current U is smooth outside Z, U_k are $(0, k-1)$-currents that take values in $\mathrm{Hom}\,(E_0, E_k)$, and R_k are $(0,k)$-currents with support on Z, taking values in $\mathrm{Hom}\,(E_0, E_k)$. Moreover, they satisfy the relations

$$f_1 U_1 = I_{E_0}, \quad f_{k+1}U_{k+1} - \bar\partial U_k = -R_k, \ k \geq 1, \tag{2.5}$$

which can be compactly written $\nabla_f U = I_{E_0} - R$ if $\nabla_f = f - \bar\partial = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots f_N - \bar\partial$. We have the corresponding complex of locally free sheaves

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(E_M) \xrightarrow{f_N} \dots \xrightarrow{f_3} \mathcal{O}(E_2) \xrightarrow{f_2} \mathcal{O}(E_1) \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathcal{O}(E_0). \tag{2.6}$$

In this paper we will only consider E_k that are direct sums of line bundles $\mathcal{O}(\nu)$. Let E_k^j be disjoint trivial line bundles over $\mathbb{P}^N$ with basis elements $e_{k,j}$, and let

$$E_k = \big(E_k^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d_k^1)\big) \oplus \cdots \oplus \big(E_k^{r_k} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d_k^{r_k})\big). \tag{2.7}$$

Then

$$f_k = \sum_{ij} f_k^{ij} e_{k-1,i} \otimes e_{k,j}^*$$

are matrices of homogeneous forms; here $e_{k,j}^*$ are the dual basis elements, and

$$\deg f_k^{ij} = d_{k-1}^i - d_k^j.$$

We equip E_k with the natural Hermitian metric, i.e., such that

$$|\xi(z)|^2_{E_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{r_k} |\xi_j(z)|^2 |z|^{2d_k^j},$$

if $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r_k})$.

If ψ is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(E_0)$ that annihilates R, i.e., the current $R\phi$ vanishes, then ψ is in the sheaf $\mathcal{J} = \mathrm{Im}\, f_1$, see [7, Proposition 2.3]. In order to represent the membership by an integral formula we will introduce a weight g that contains $f_1(z)$ as a factor and apply Proposition 2.1. To this end we introduced in [4] a generalization of so-called Hefer forms, inspired by [3] and [7], to the case with non-trivial vector bundles.

Definition 1. We say that $H = (H_k^\ell)$ is a Hefer morphism for the complex $E_\bullet$ in (2.4) if H_k^ℓ are smooth sections of

$$\mathcal{L}^{-k+\ell}(\mathrm{Hom}\,(E_{\zeta,k}, E_{z,\ell}))$$

that are holomorphic in z, $H_k^\ell = 0$ for $k < \ell$, the term $(H_\ell^\ell)_{0,0}$ of bidegree $(0,0)$ is the identity I_{E_ℓ} on the diagonal Δ, and

$$\nabla_\eta H_k^\ell = H_{k-1}^\ell f_k - f_{\ell+1}(z) H_k^{\ell+1}, \tag{2.8}$$

where f_k stands for $f_k(\zeta)$.

Notice that we do not require H to be holomorphic in ζ. Assume that H is a Hefer morphism for $E_\bullet$ and let U and R be the associated currents. We can then form the currents $HU=\sum_j H_j^1U_j$ (notice the superscript 1 here) and $HR=\sum_j H_j^0R_j$. To be precise with the signs one has to introduce a superbundle structure on $E=\oplus E_k$; then for instance f is mapping of even order since it maps $E_k\to E_{k-1}$ (and therefore f anti-commutes with odd order forms) whereas, e.g., H is even since H_k^ℓ is a form of degree $k-\ell$ (mod 2) that takes values in $\text{Hom}\,(E_\ell,E_k)$, giving another factor $k-\ell$ (mod 2). See [3, Section 5] for details.

Let f be a non-trivial section that vanishes on Z. If $\text{Re}\,\lambda>>0$, then

$$U^\lambda:=|f_1|^{2\lambda}U,\quad R^\lambda:=1-|f_1|^{2\lambda}+\bar\partial|f_1|^{2\lambda}\wedge U$$

are smooth forms. Moreover there admit current-valued analytic continuations to $\text{Re}\,\lambda>-\epsilon$, and the values at $\lambda=0$ are U and R, respectively, see, e.g., [7]. It is readily checked that

$$\nabla_f U^\lambda=I_{E_0}-R^\lambda,$$

and from the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [4] it follows that

$$g^\lambda:=f_1(z)HU^\lambda+HR^\lambda \tag{2.9}$$

is a weight (as long as $\text{Re}\,\lambda>>0$) if H is a Hefer morphism for the complex $E_\bullet$. From Proposition 2.1, applied to $g^\lambda\wedge g$, and letting $\lambda=0$, we get the following interpolation-division formula.

Proposition 2.3 ([4], Proposition 4.2). *Assume that H is a Hefer morphism for the complex $E_\bullet$. If ψ is a holomorphic section of $F\otimes E_0\otimes\mathcal{O}(-N)$ and g is a weight with respect to $F\to\mathbb{P}^N$, then we have the representation*

$$\psi(z)=f_1(z)\int_{\mathbb{P}^N_\zeta}(HU\wedge g)_{N,N}\psi+\int_{\mathbb{P}^N_\zeta}(HR\wedge g)_{N,N}\psi,\quad z\in\mathbb{P}^N. \tag{2.10}$$

If $R\psi=0$ we thus have the explicit holomorphic solution

$$q(z)=\int_{\mathbb{P}^n_\zeta}(HU\wedge g)_{N,N}\psi$$

to $f_1q=\psi$. Moreover, if ψ a priori is only defined in a neighborhood of Z and g depends holomorphically on z, then the second integral in (2.10) is well-defined and provides a global holomorphic section $\tilde\psi$ such that $\tilde\psi-\psi$ belongs to the ideal sheaf generated by f_1. This is the reason for the notion division-interpolation formula. For a more precise interpolation result, see Proposition 3.1 below.

Remark 2.4. In [7] occur more general currents U_k^ℓ and R_k^ℓ taking values in $\text{Hom}\,(E_\ell,E_k)$. With the same proof as below we get the more general formula

$$\psi(z)=f_{\ell+1}(z)\int_{\mathbb{P}^N_\zeta}(HU^\ell\wedge g)_{N,N}\psi+\int_{\mathbb{P}^N_\zeta}(HR^\ell\wedge g)_{N,N}\psi+$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^N_\zeta}(HU^{\ell-1}\wedge g)_{N,N}f_\ell\psi$$

for holomorphic sections of $F \otimes E_\ell \otimes \mathcal{O}(-N+\ell)$; here $HR^\ell = \sum_j H^\ell_j R^\ell_j$ and $HU^\ell = \sum_j H^{\ell+1}_j U^\ell_j$. If $f_\ell \psi = 0$ and $R^\ell \psi = 0$ we thus get an explicit holomorphic solution to $f_{\ell+1} q = \psi$.

2.2. **A choice of Hefer morphism.** Assume now that $E_\bullet$ is a complex with E_k of the form (2.7) and choose κ such that $\kappa \geq d^i_k$ for all i, k. We can then construct a Hefer morphism for the complex $E_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa)$. Notice that the currents U and R that are associated to $E_\bullet$ are also the associated currents to $E_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa)$. We thus obtain a division formula for sections ψ of $E_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa - N)$.

From the complex $E_\bullet$ we form a complex $E'_\bullet$ of trivial bundles over $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ in the following way: Let $E'_k := E^1_k \oplus \cdots \oplus E^{r_k}_k$ and take the mappings F_k that are formally just the matrices f_k of homogeneous forms. Let δ_{w-z} denote interior multiplication with

$$2\pi i \sum_0^N (w_j - z_j) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j}$$

in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}_w \times \mathbb{C}^{N+1}_z$.

Proposition 2.5. *There exist* $(k-\ell, 0)$*-form-valued mappings*

$$h^\ell_k = \sum_{ij} (h^\ell_k)_{ij} e_{\ell i} \otimes e^*_{kj} : \mathbb{C}^{n+1}_w \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}_z \to \mathrm{Hom}\,(E'_k, E'_\ell)),$$

such that $h^\ell_k = 0$ *for* $k < \ell$, $h^\ell_\ell = I_{E'_\ell}$, *and*

$$-\delta_{w-z} h^\ell_k = h^\ell_{k-1} F_k(w) - F_{\ell+1}(z) h^{\ell+1}_k, \tag{2.11}$$

and the coefficients in the form $(h^\ell_k)_{ij}$ *are homogeneous polynomials of degree* $d^j_k - d^i_\ell - (k-\ell)$.

In [3, Section 4] there is an explicit formula that provides h^ℓ_k such that (2.11) holds[3]. The components of h^ℓ_k of the desired degrees then must satisfy (2.11) as well. One can also check that the forms given by the formula actually have the desired degrees. Notice that

$$\gamma_j = d\zeta_j - \frac{\bar{\zeta} \cdot d\zeta}{|\zeta|^2} \zeta_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N,$$

are projective forms such that

$$\nabla_\eta \gamma_j = 2\pi i (z_j - \alpha \zeta_j), \tag{2.12}$$

where α is the form in Example 2.2.

If $h(w,z)$ is a homogeneous form in $\mathbb{C}^{N+1}_w \times \mathbb{C}^{N+1}_z$ with differentials dw and polynomial coefficients, we let $\tau^* h$ be the projective form obtained by replacing w by $\alpha\zeta$ and dw_j by γ_j. We then have

$$\nabla_\eta \tau^* h = \tau^*(\delta_{w-z} h), \tag{2.13}$$

in light of (2.12) and (2.2).

---

[3] The initial minus sign here is because we have δ_{w-z} rather than δ_{z-w} as in [3]

Proposition 2.6 ([4], Proposition 4.4). *Assume that $\kappa \geq d^j_k$ for all k and j. Then*

$$(H^E_\kappa)^\ell_k = \sum_{ij} (\tau^* h^\ell_k)_{ij} \wedge \alpha^{\kappa - d^j_k} e_{\ell,i} \otimes e^*_{k,j} \tag{2.14}$$

is a Hefer morphism for the complex $E_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa)$.

For degree reasons it is enough that $\kappa \geq d^j_k$ for $k \leq \min(M, N+1)$.

3. Integral representation on X

Let $i\colon X \to \mathbb{P}^N$ be a projective subvariety of pure dimension n, let $p := N - n$, and let $\mathcal{J}_X \subset \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{P}^N}$ be the radical sheaf. From the free resolution (1.1) of S/J_X (of minimal length $M \leq N$) we can form the locally free sheaf complex $\mathcal{O}(E_\bullet)$ as in (2.6), defined by

$$E_k = \big(E^1_k \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d^1_k)\big) \oplus \cdots \oplus \big(E^{r_k}_k \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d^{r_k}_k)\big), \tag{3.1}$$

where E^i_k are disjoint trivial line bundles, and the mappings a_j are formally the same mappings as in (1.1). It turns out, see, e.g., [7], that (3.1) is actually a (locally free) resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{P}^N}/\mathcal{J}_X$. Let R and U be the associated currents as above and recall that $R = R_p + R_{p+1} + \cdots$.

In view of

Notice that

$$\varOmega := \delta_\zeta\big(d\zeta_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\zeta_{N+1}\big) = \sum (-1)^j \zeta_j d\zeta_0 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{d\zeta_j} \wedge \ldots \wedge d\zeta_N$$

is a non-vanishing section of the trivial bundle over $\mathbb{P}^N$ realized as an $(N,0)$-form with values in $\mathcal{O}(N+1)$. It follows from [6, Section 3] that there is a unique current $\omega = \omega_0 + \cdots + \omega_n$, where ω_k has bidegree (n,k) and take values in $E_k \otimes \mathcal{O}(N+1)$, such that

$$i_*\omega = \varOmega \wedge R, \quad i_*\omega_\ell = \varOmega \wedge R_{p+\ell}. \tag{3.2}$$

The form ω, in [6] it is called a *structure form* for X, is smooth on X_{reg} and if ξ is a smooth form then

$$\int_X \omega \wedge \xi$$

exists as a principal value at X_{sing}; actually ω is *almost semi-meromorphic* in the sense introduced in [6].

For any smooth form ξ on $\mathbb{P}^N$ there is a unique form $\vartheta(\xi)$ such that

$$\vartheta(\xi) \wedge \varOmega = \xi_{N,*}. \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.2) we have that

$$\xi_{N,*} \wedge R = \vartheta(\xi) \wedge \varOmega \wedge R = i_*\big(\vartheta(\xi) \wedge \omega\big). \tag{3.4}$$

From Proposition 2.6 we have a Hefer morphism $H^E_{\kappa_0}$ for the complex $E_\bullet \otimes \mathcal{O}(\kappa_0)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let ℓ be any integer and assume that g is a smooth weight on $\mathbb{P}^N$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\ell - \kappa_0 + N)$ and $z \in X$. For holomorphic sections ϕ of $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ over X we have the representation*

$$\phi(z) = \int_X \vartheta(g \wedge H^E_{\kappa_0}) \wedge \omega \phi.$$

Proof. In view of (2.9) and (2.14),

$$g^\lambda = a_1(z) H^E_{\kappa_0} U^\lambda + H^E_{\kappa_0} R^\lambda$$

is a smooth weight in $\mathbb{P}^N$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\kappa_0)$ and z if $\operatorname{Re}\lambda >> 0$. Since $a_1(z) = 0$,

$$g^\lambda = H^E_{\kappa_1} R^\lambda.$$

Let Φ_0 be a smooth global section of $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ that is equal to ϕ on X and holomorphic in a neighborhood (in $\mathbb{P}^N$) of z. Since B is smooth outside z, $\nabla_\eta B = 1$ there and $\bar\partial \Phi_0 = 0$ in a neighborhood (in $\mathbb{P}^N$) of z,

$$\Phi := \Phi_0 - \bar\partial\Phi_0 \wedge B$$

is a smooth ∇_η-closed section of $\mathcal{L}^0(\mathcal{O}_\zeta(\ell)\otimes\mathcal{O}_z(0))$ on $\mathbb{P}^N$. Let $\tilde g$ be a smooth weight with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\ell - \kappa_0 + N)$ in $\mathbb{P}^N$. Then

$$\nabla_\eta (g^\lambda \wedge \tilde g \wedge \Phi \wedge B) = g^\lambda \wedge \tilde g \wedge \Phi (1 - [z]) = g^\lambda \wedge \tilde g \wedge \Phi - (g^\lambda \wedge \tilde g \wedge \Phi)_{0,0} \wedge [z].$$

Notice that $(g^\lambda \wedge \tilde g \wedge \Phi)_{0,0}$ is equal to $\phi(z)$ at the point z. By Stokes' theorem we thus find, cf., the discussion preceding Section 2.1, that

$$\phi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^N} g^\lambda \wedge \tilde g \wedge \Phi.$$

Taking $\lambda = 0$, we get

$$\phi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^N} H^E_{\kappa_0} R \wedge \tilde g \wedge \Phi = \int_X \vartheta(\tilde g \wedge H^E_{\kappa_0}) \wedge \omega\phi, \tag{3.5}$$

cf., (3.4), since the $i^*\Phi = \phi$. □

Example 3.2. If $\ell \geq \kappa_0 - N$, then we can take $g = \alpha^{\ell-\kappa_0+N}$ in Proposition 3.1. Since this weight is holomorphic for all $z \in \mathbb{P}^N$, the integral then provides a holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{P}^N$ of ϕ. Thus we get an "explicit" proof of the surjectivity of the natural restriction mapping

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}(\ell)) \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\ell))$$

for $\ell \geq \kappa_0 - N$.

4. DIVISION FORMULAS ON X

Let $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ be our sections of $\mathcal{O}(d)$ on X from Section 1[4] and let $\mathcal{J}_f$ be the associated ideal sheaf on X. If X is smooth we can find a resolution of $\mathcal{O}^X/\mathcal{J}_f$ over X and obtain a residue current whose annihilator is precisely $\mathcal{J}^f$. We can then form a division-interpolation formula like (1.3), if ρ is big enough, such that the remainder term vanishes as soon as ϕ is in $\mathcal{J}_f$. However, our main objective is to find an explicit representation of the solutions in Theorem 1.1, and to this end we use the Koszul complex generated by the f_j. In this way we get a residue current that is explicitly defined and, moreover, perfectly adapted to the theorem. For the case with different degrees of f_j, see [4].

Let E' be a trivial rank m bundle with basis elements $e_1, \ldots, e_m$, let $E := E' \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d)$, and let e_i^* be the dual basis elements for $(E')^*$ so that

---

[4] In the intermediate sections f_j stand for mappings in a complex in Sections 2.1 and 2.2; we hope this will not cause any confusion.

$f := f_1 e_1^* + \cdots + f_m e_m^*$ is a section of $E^* = \mathcal{O}(d) \otimes (E')^*$. We then have the Koszul complex

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \to \mathcal{O}(-md) \otimes \Lambda^m E' \xrightarrow{\delta_f} \cdots$$
$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta_f} \mathcal{O}(-2d) \otimes \Lambda^2 E' \xrightarrow{\delta_f} \mathcal{O}(-d) \otimes E' \xrightarrow{\delta_f} \mathbb{C} \to 0,$$

where δ_f denotes[5] contraction with f. If we let $E_k := \mathcal{O}(-dk) \otimes \Lambda^k E'$ we thus have a complex like (2.4) and the associated currents $U = U^f$ and $R = R^f$. Let us describe them in more detail. In $\mathbb{P}^N \setminus Z_f$ we define the section

$$\sigma = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\overline{f_j(z)} e_j}{|f(z)|^2}$$

of $\mathcal{O}(d) \otimes E$. If $\bar f \cdot e = \sum \bar f_j e_j$ and $d\bar f \cdot e = \sum d\bar f_j \wedge e_j$, then

$$\sigma \wedge (\bar\partial \sigma)^{k-1} = \frac{\bar f \cdot e \wedge (d\bar f \cdot e)^{k-1}}{|f|^{2k}}.$$

It turns out, cf., Section 2.1 and, e.g., [4],

$$U^{f,\lambda} := |f|^{2\lambda}_{E^*} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\bar f \cdot e \wedge (d\bar f \cdot e)^{k-1}}{|f|^{2k}}$$

and

$$R^{f,\lambda} := 1 - |f|^{2\lambda}_{E^*} + \bar\partial |f|^{2\lambda}_{E^*} \wedge \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\bar f \cdot e \wedge (d\bar f \cdot e)^{k-1}}{|f|^{2k}}.$$

From Section 2.2 we know that there is a Hefer morphism $H^f_{\kappa'}$ for the complex (4.1) with $\kappa' := d \min(m, N+1)$, and as noticed in Section 2.1,

$$g^{f,\lambda}_{\kappa'} := f(z) \cdot H^f_{\kappa'} U^{f,\lambda} + H^f_{\kappa'} R^{f,\lambda}$$

is then a smooth global weight with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\kappa')$ on $\mathbb{P}^N$ when $\operatorname{Re} \lambda >> 0$. For an explicit choice of Hefer form, see the end of this section.

If now ϕ is a section of $\mathcal{O}(\rho)$ over X and g is a weight on $\mathbb{P}^N$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\rho - \kappa' - \kappa_0 + N)$ it follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$(4.2) \qquad \phi(z) = \int_X \vartheta(g^{f,\lambda}_{\kappa'} \wedge g \wedge H^E_{\kappa_0}) \wedge \omega \phi.$$

Let

$$\kappa := d \min(m, n+1).$$

Since terms of $g^{f,\lambda}_{\kappa'}$ of bidegree (k,k), $k > n$, vanish on X, one sees that $g^{f,\lambda}_{\kappa'} = \alpha^{\kappa' - \kappa} \wedge g^{f,\lambda}_{\kappa}$ on X where $g^{f,\lambda}_{\kappa}$ is smooth on X.

Lemma 4.1. *If $\tilde g$ is a weight with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\rho - \kappa - \kappa_0 + N)$, then we have the representation*

$$(4.3) \qquad \phi(z) = \int_X \vartheta(g^{f,\lambda}_{\kappa} \wedge \tilde g \wedge H^E_{\kappa_0}) \wedge \omega \phi, \quad z \in X.$$

---

[5] These mappings δ_f are instances of the mappings denoted by f_j in Sections 2.1 and 2.2.

Proof. Fix $z \in X$. Let $\chi(t)$ be a cutoff function that is 1 when $t > 3/4$ and 0 when $t < 1/4$, let

$$\chi_\delta := \chi\big(|\bar\zeta \cdot z|^2/|z|^2|\zeta|^2\delta\big),$$

and

$$g^\delta := \chi_\delta - \bar\partial\chi_\delta \wedge B.$$

Since g^δ vanishes in a neighborhood of the hyperplane $\bar\zeta \cdot z = 0$,

$$\alpha^{-r} \wedge g^\delta$$

is a smooth weight with respect to $\mathcal{O}(-r)$ and z. Thus we can choose $g = \chi^\delta \alpha^{-r} \wedge \tilde g$ in (4.2), where $r = \kappa' - \kappa$ and get

$$\phi(z) = \int_X \vartheta(g_\kappa^{f,\lambda} \wedge g_\delta \wedge \tilde g \wedge H^E_{\kappa_0}) \wedge \omega\phi. \tag{4.4}$$

From [6, Proposition 3.3] we know that ω is almost semi-meromorphic and in particular therefore has the standard extension property SEP. This means that

$$\chi_\delta\omega \to \omega \tag{4.5}$$

when $\delta \to 0$. We also know from [6, Proposition 3.3] that $\nabla_a \omega = 0$. Therefore

$$-\bar\partial\chi_\delta \wedge \omega = \nabla_a(\chi_\delta \wedge \omega) \to \nabla_a\omega = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

In view of (4.5) and (4.6), keeping in mind that $g_\kappa^{f,\lambda}$ is smooth, we get (4.3) from (4.4) when $\delta \to 0$. □

Notice that ϑ only acts on factors with holomorphic differentials so that $R^{f,\lambda}$ (and $U^{f,\lambda}$) can be put outside the brackets in (4.3).

From [6] and [8] we know that we can define the (formal) product currents

$$U^f \wedge \omega := U^{f,\lambda} \wedge \omega\big|_{\lambda=0}, \quad R^f \wedge \omega := R^{f,\lambda} \wedge \omega|_{\lambda=0}.$$

on X. The first one is a product of two almost semi-meromorphic currents, and it is robust in the sense that any reasonable limit procedure will produce the same current, see [6, Section 2].

Putting $\lambda = 0$ in (4.3) we thus get the following more precise form of (1.3) in the introduction.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that* $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ *are sections of* $\mathcal{O}(d)$. *If*

$$\rho = d\min(n, m+1) + \kappa_0 - N + \ell = \kappa + \kappa_0 - N + \ell, \quad \ell \geq 0,$$

and $\phi \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}(\rho))$ *we have the interpolation-division formula*

$$\phi(z) = f(z) \cdot \int_X A(\zeta, z)\phi(\zeta) + \int_X B(\zeta, z) \wedge R(\zeta)\phi(\zeta), \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$A(\zeta, z) := \vartheta\big(\alpha^\ell \wedge H^f_\kappa \wedge H^E_{\kappa_0}\big) \wedge U^f \wedge \omega,$$

$$B(\zeta, z) := \vartheta\big(\alpha^\ell \wedge H^f_\kappa \wedge H^E_{\kappa_0}\big),$$

and

$$R := R^f \wedge \omega.$$

From above it is clear that $A(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic in $z \in X$ and that, for fixed z, is the product of the principal value current $U^f \wedge \omega$ and a smooth form. Moreover, $B(\zeta, z)$ is smooth and holomorphic in $z \in X$. If $R^f \wedge \omega \phi = 0$, then the second term in (4.7) vanishes and so we get a solution q to $f \cdot q = \phi$.

Remark 4.3. If X is smooth, then ω is smooth as well. If in addition $\operatorname{codim} Z_f = m$, then $R^f \wedge \omega \phi = 0$ if and only if ϕ is in the sheaf $\mathcal{J}_f$, see [7]. Moreover, $R^f = R^f_m$ coincides with the classical Coleff-Herrera product

$$\bar\partial \frac{1}{f_m} \wedge \ldots \wedge \bar\partial \frac{1}{f_1}$$

on X, cf., [2] p. 112. Since ω is smooth, thus $R^f \wedge \omega = R^f_m \wedge \omega$.

We can express H^f_κ somewhat more explicitly. Let $\tilde h_j(w, z)$ be $(1,0)$-forms in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ of polynomial degrees $d-1$ such that

$$\delta_{w-z} \tilde h_j = f_j(w) - f_j(z)$$

and let $h_j = \tau^* \tilde h_j$. Let

$$h := h_1 \wedge e_1 + \cdots + h_m \wedge e_m.$$

If δ_h is interior multiplication with h and

$$(\delta_h)_k := (\delta_h)^k / k!,$$

then

$$(H^f_\kappa)^\ell_k = \alpha^{\kappa - dk} (\delta_h)_{k-\ell},$$

see [4, Section 5]. Recall that $(H^f_\kappa)^\ell_\bullet$ occurs in (4.7) with $\ell = 1$ in the first integral and with $\ell = 0$ in the second one.

4.1. **Explicit representation of the solutions in Theorem 1.1.**

Assume that all the hypotheses in Theorem 1.1 (i) hold, let f_j be the d-homogenizations of F_j, let

$$\rho = \max(\deg + (\mu + \mu_0) d^{c_\infty} \deg X, d \min(n, m+1) + \kappa_0 - N),$$

and let ϕ be the ρ-homogenization of Φ, cf., Section 1. It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1 in [8, Section 6] that $R^f \wedge \omega \phi = 0$ so that the second term in (4.7) vanishes. In view of the discussion succeeding Theorem 1.1 above, thus the dehomogenization of

(4.8)
$$q = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(m, n+1)} \int_X \vartheta \Big[\alpha^{\rho - \kappa_0 + N - dk} \wedge (\delta_h)_{k-1} \frac{\bar f \cdot e \wedge (d\bar f \cdot e)^{k-1}}{|f|^{2k}} \wedge H^E_{\kappa_0} \Big] \wedge \omega \phi$$

is a tuple of polynomials Q_j such that (1.7) and (1.8) hold. There is an analogous representation of the solution in Theorem 1.1 (ii).

The integral in (4.8) is defined as a principal value at $Z^f \cup X_{sing}$. For instance let h be a tuple (of holomorphic sections of line bundles on X) that vanishes on $Z^f \cup X_{sing}$ and let $\chi(t)$ be (a smooth approximand of) the characteristic function for the interval $[1, \infty)$. If we multiply the integrand in (4.8) by $\chi(|h|^2/\delta)$ then the integral exists in the ordinary sense, and the principal value is obtained by letting $\delta \to 0$. One can just as well multiply the integrand by $|h|^{2\lambda}$ for $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda >> 0$. The principal value is then obtained by analytic continuation to $\lambda = 0$.

Remark 4.4. If $m \le n$ and $|\psi| \le |f|^{\min(m,n)}$, then $U^f\psi$ is integrable on X_{reg} so (4.8) is a convergent integral locally on X_{reg}. In general, however, $U\psi$ may be a distribution of higher order than zero, and then the integral in (4.8) must be regarded as a principal value even on X_{reg}.

5. THE CASE WHEN X IS A (REDUCED) HYPERSURFACE

In this section we illustrate the results in the special case when X is a reduced hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. We thus assume that $X = \{a = 0\}$ where a is a section of $\mathcal{O}(\kappa_0)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$, i.e., $a = a(\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_{n+1})$ is a κ_0-homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$, and $da \neq 0$ on (the pull-back to $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ of) X. We first discuss the general representation formula in Proposition 3.1 in this case. It can of course be obtained from this proposition but we find it instructive to derive it directly from the general representation formula (Proposition 2.1) on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$.

Notice that $\|a\| := |a|/|\zeta|^{\kappa_0}$ is the natural pointwise norm of a considered as a section of $\mathcal{O}(\kappa_0)$. It is well-known that $\bar\partial\|a\|^{2\lambda}/a$, a priori defined for $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda >> 0$, admits a current-valued analytic continuation to $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda > -\epsilon$, and that the value at $\lambda = 0$ is $\bar\partial(1/a)$, i.e., $\bar\partial$ applied to the principal value current $1/a$.

Remark 5.1. We have the locally free sheaf resolution

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(-\kappa_0) \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{O}(0) \tag{5.1}$$

of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{P}^N}/\mathcal{J}_X$, and it is readily checked that the associated residue current R^E is the current $\bar\partial(1/a)$ times a homomorphism (from $\mathcal{O}(0)$ to $\mathcal{O}(-\kappa_0)$) that has odd order. However since we will derive the representation formula on X directly we do not have to bother about these sign problems. Notice that the number κ_0 here is consistent with the definition in the general case.

Let $h^a(z,w)$ be a $(1,0)$-form such that $\delta_{w-z}h^a = a(z) - a(w)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+2} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$, and let us write $h^a(z,\alpha\zeta)$ for τ^*h, cf, Section 2.2. If $\mathrm{Re}\,\lambda >> 0$, then

$$g^\lambda := \alpha^{\kappa_0} - \nabla_\eta\big(h^a(z,\alpha\zeta)\|a\|^{2\lambda}/a\big)$$

is a weight in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\kappa_0)$ and z. If ϕ is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ and g is a weight with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\ell - \kappa_0 + n + 1)$, then we have from Proposition 2.1 the representation

$$\phi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} g^\lambda \wedge g\phi.$$

Since

$$-\nabla_\eta h^a(z,\alpha\zeta) = a(z) - a(\alpha\zeta) = a(z) - \alpha^{\kappa_0}a(\zeta),$$

we have that

$$g^\lambda = (1 - \|a\|^{2\lambda})\alpha^{\kappa_0} + \frac{a(z)}{a(\zeta)}\|a\|^{2\lambda} + h^a(z,\alpha\zeta)\wedge\bar\partial\|a\|^{2\lambda}/a.$$

Notice that

$$\int (1 - \|a\|^{2\lambda})\alpha^{\kappa_0}\wedge g\phi$$

vanishes when $\lambda = 0$ by the dominated convergence theorem. Let us now assume that $z \in X$ so that $a(z) = 0$. We then have

$$\phi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} g\wedge h^a(z, \alpha\zeta)\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{a}\phi, \quad z \in X. \tag{5.2}$$

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 it is enough to assume that ϕ a priori is defined on X.

We want to write the right hand side in (5.2) as a principal value integral over X. As before, let

$$\Omega := \delta_\zeta d\zeta,$$

where

$$d\zeta := d\zeta_0 \wedge \ldots \wedge d\zeta_{n+1}.$$

Recall, cf., (3.2), that the form ω on X is defined by the equality[6]

$$i_*\omega = \bar\partial(1/a) \wedge \Omega. \tag{5.3}$$

We shall give an explicit representation of ω. Let

$$\partial_j a = \frac{\partial a}{\partial\zeta_j},\ j = 0, \ldots, n+1, \quad |\partial a|^2 = |\partial_0 a|^2 + \cdots + |\partial_{n+1}a|^2,$$

let δ_A denote interior multiplication by

$$2\pi i\frac{1}{|\partial a|^2}\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}\overline{\partial_j a}\partial_j,$$

and define

$$\omega' := \delta_A\Omega = \delta_A\delta_\zeta d\zeta. \tag{5.4}$$

Lemma 5.2. *For any test form ξ we have that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}} \xi\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{a}\wedge\Omega = \int_X \xi\wedge\omega'. \tag{5.5}$$

In view of (5.3) we thus have that

$$\omega = i^*\omega'.$$

Proof. Notice that

$$Da := da - \kappa_0\frac{\bar\zeta\cdot d\zeta}{|\zeta|^2}a$$

is the Chern connection on $\mathcal{O}(\kappa_0)$ acting on a. One can verify directly that Da is a projective form, since by the κ_0-homogeneity of a, $\partial_0 a\zeta_0 + \cdots + \partial_{n+1}a\zeta_{n+1} = \kappa_0 a$. In any case, $\delta_\zeta(Da) = 0$ so we have

$$Da\wedge\omega' = Da\wedge\delta_A\delta_\zeta d\zeta = \delta_\zeta(Da\wedge\delta_A d\zeta) = \delta_\zeta(\delta_A Da\wedge d\zeta) = 2\pi i\Big(1 - \kappa_0\frac{\overline{\partial a}\cdot\bar\zeta}{|\partial a|^2|\zeta|^2}a\Big)\Omega.$$

In particular,

$$Da\wedge\omega' = 2\pi i\Omega$$

---

[6] Since R^E has even degree this is consistent with (3.2), cf. Remark 5.1.

on X. By the Poincaré-Lelong lemma,

$$\bar\partial\frac{1}{a}\wedge Da = 2\pi i[X],$$

and therefore

$$2\pi i\bar\partial\frac{1}{a}\wedge\Omega = \bar\partial\frac{1}{a}\wedge Da\wedge\omega' = 2\pi i[X]\wedge\omega'$$

which is the same as (5.5). □

If ξ has bidegree $(n+1,n)$, then by (5.4),

$$\delta_A\xi = \delta_A(\vartheta(\xi)\wedge\Omega) = (-1)^n\vartheta(\xi)\wedge\omega'.$$

Moreover,

$$\vartheta(\xi)\wedge\Omega\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{a} = (-1)^{n+1}\vartheta(\xi)\wedge\bar\partial\frac{1}{a}\wedge\Omega.$$

From (5.2) and Lemma 5.2 we thus get

Proposition 5.3. *If ϕ is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(\ell)$ and g is a weight with respect to $\mathcal{O}(\ell-\kappa_0+n+1)$, then we have the representation*

$$\phi(z) = (-1)^{n+1}\int_X \vartheta(g\wedge h^a(z,\alpha\zeta))\wedge\omega'\phi = -\int_X \delta_A(h^a\wedge g)\phi. \tag{5.6}$$

Given the situation in Section 4.1, the dehomogenization of

$$q = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(m,n+1)} (-1)^{n+1} \int_{a=0} \vartheta\Big[\alpha^{\rho-\kappa_0+n+1-dk}\wedge(\delta_h)_{k-1}\frac{\bar f\cdot e\wedge(d\bar f\cdot e)^{k-1}}{|f|^{2k}}\wedge h^a(z,\alpha\zeta)\Big]\wedge\omega'\phi. \tag{5.7}$$

is thus a tuple of polynomials Q_j such that (1.7) and (1.8) hold on X.

References

[1] M. Andersson: *Integral representation with weights I*, Math. Ann. **326** (2003), 1-18.

[2] M. Andersson: *The membership problem for polynomial ideals in terms of residue currents*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **56** (2006), 101–119.

[3] M. Andersson: *Integral representation with weights II, division and interpolation formulas*, Math. Z. **254** (2006), 315–332.

[4] M. Andersson, E. Götmark: *Explicit representation of membership in polynomial ideals*, Math. Ann **349** (2011), 345–365.

[5] M. Andersson, H. Samuelsson, J. Sznajdman: *On the Briançon-Skoda theorem on a singular variety*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **60** (2010), 417–432.

[6] M. Andersson, H. Samuelsson: *A Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma on complex spaces via Koppelman formulas*, Invent. Math. (to appear); available at arXiv:1010.6142.

[7] M. Andersson, E. Wulcan: *Residue currents with prescribed annihilator ideals*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **40** (2007), 985–1007.

[8] M. Andersson & E. Wulcan: *Global effective versions of global Briançon-Skoda-Huneke theorem*, arXiv:1107.0388.

[9] C. Berenstein & R. Gay & A. Vidras & A. Yger: *Residue currents and Bezout identities*, Progress in Mathematics, 114. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.

[10] C. Berenstein & A. Yger: *Effective Bézout Identities in $\mathcal{Q}[z_1,\ldots,z_n]$*, Acta Mathematica, **166** (1991), 69–120.

[11] B. Berndtsson: *A formula for division and interpolation*, Math. Ann. **263** (1983), 113-160.

[12] J. Briançon & H. Skoda: *Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes de fonctions holomorphes en un point de* $\mathbb{C}^n$., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 278 (1974), 949–951.

[13] L. Ein & R. Lazarsfeld: *A geometric effective Nullstellensatz*, Invent. math. **135** (1999), 427–448.

[14] D. Eisenbud: *Commutative algebra. With a view toward algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 160. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[15] D. Eisenbud: *The geometry of syzygies. A second course in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 229. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

[16] E. Götmark: *Weighted integral formulas on manifolds*, Ark. Mat. **46** (2008), 43–68.

[17] M. Hickel: *Solution d'une conjecture de C. Berenstein-A. Yger et invariants de contact à l'infini*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **51** (2001), 707–744.

[18] C. Huneke: *Uniform bounds in Noetherian rings*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), 203–223.

[19] Z. Jelonek: *On the effective Nullstellensatz*, Invent. math. **162** 1–17 (2005).

[20] J. Kollár: *Sharp effective Nullstellensatz*, J. American Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 963–975.

[21] M. Sombra: *A sparse effective Nullstellensatz*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **22** (1999), 271295.

Department of Mathematics, Chalmers University of Technology and the University of Gothenburg, S-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: `matsa@chalmers.se & lisa.nilsson@trygghansa.se`